

1
2
3
4 OYSTER OPTICS, LLC,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 CIENA CORPORATION,
8 Defendant.
9


10 Case No. [17-cv-05920-JSW](#)
11

12
13 **ORDER REGARDING UNFILED
14 EXHIBITS**

15 In the course of resolving the parties' motions for summary judgment and certain *Daubert*
16 motions, the Court has discovered that some of Oyster's exhibits have not been electronically
17 filed. The Court refers specifically to exhibits to the Omnibus Declaration of Paul A. Kroeger in
18 opposition to Ciena's motions for summary judgment and *Daubert* motions. (See Dkt. Nos. 208-
19 3, 208-6 through 208-12, 208-15 through 208-16, 208-18 through 208-21, and 208-24.) The Court
20 has not yet ruled on the pending motions to seal. Accordingly, Oyster shall file a corrected
21 version of all documents contained within Docket No. 208 by March 31, 2023.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 Dated: March 22, 2023

24
25 
26 JEFFREY S. WHITE
27 United States District Judge
28